

DEFENDANTSEFFICIENCY PRODUCTION, INC.

685 Hull Road

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS TRENCHTECH, INC.

1979 Old Bristol Pike

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The IS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

Morrisville, PA 19067 (b) County of Residence of (E)	First Listed Plaintiff Bucks County, PA **CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES** **CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES** **THE COUNTY OF THE	NOTE: IN LAND CO	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O DIDEMNATION CASES, USE TO OF LAND INVOLVED.	
	Address, and Telephone Number) e, Semanoff Ormsby Greenberg & Torch untingdon Valley, PA 19006	Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State		
☐ 2 U _s S _s Government Defendant	3 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	of Business In A	Another State
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other 448 Education PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 367 Health Care/Product Liability Personal Injury PERSONAL PROPE 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 370 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 370 Other Prawa 486 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence 530 General 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Cother 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement	G25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 G90 Other G90 Other Labor Act G90 Other Labor Act G90 Other Labor Litigation G91 Employee Retirement G90 Other Labor Litigation G91 Employee Retirement G9	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from 3 Remanded from the Court Appellate Court	(specify,	er District Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Brief description of cause: Breach of exclusive distributorship a			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.	n DEMAND \$ in excess of \$150		if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 113015 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD Mulad B-D				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		<u> </u>		
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

TRENCHTECH, INC., 1979 Old Bristol Pike Morrisville, PA 19067

Case No.

Plaintiff,

vs.

EFFICIENCY PRODUCTION, INC. 685 Hull Road Mason, MI 48854

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Trenchtech, Inc., by its attorneys, Semanoff Ormsby Greenberg & Torchia, LLC, hereby files this Complaint against Defendant, Efficiency Production, Inc., and in support thereof avers as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Trenchtech, Inc. ("Trenchtech") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with its principal place of business located at the address set forth in the above caption.
- 2. Defendant, Efficiency Production, Inc. ("EPI") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Michigan with its principal place of business located at the address set forth in the above caption.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. Jurisdiction in this Court is appropriate based on diversity of citizenship and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1), as the civil action is between citizens of different states and

the amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars (\$75,000).

4. Venue is proper in this Court because EPI has conducted substantial business in this District and a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.

FACTS

- 5. Trenchtech is in the business of providing shoring solutions to the underground construction industry including excavators, utility contractors and general contractors.
- 6. EPI is a manufacturer and distributor of shoring products, including but not limited to, modular trench shielding systems.
- 7. Beginning in or around early 1998, Trenchtech began purchasing shoring products manufactured and distributed by EPI.
- 8. In or around late 2000/early 2001, Trenchtech became an exclusive distributor of EPI's shoring products. Specifically, EPI offered to Trenchtech that if it agreed to purchase equipment only from EPI in the areas where Trenchtech had established operations, EPI would not sell shoring products to any other distributor or shoring company in the areas where Trenchtech had established operations nor would EPI rent or sell product directly to any contractors in those same areas. Trenchtech agreed to this arrangement thereby establishing an oral exclusive distributorship agreement with EPI (the "Agreement").
- 9. Trenchtech has purchased approximately \$20 million in product from EPI since 1998.
- 10. In March 2010, three long-time employees of Trenchtech resigned and started a competing company called ShorQuip Supply, Inc. ("ShorQuip").

- 11. ShorQuip is a corporation that is organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with its registered address in Lafayette Hill, PA.
- 12. ShorQuip is a direct competitor of Trenchtech and since its inception, has been operating in the same territory as Trenchtech, specifically in Philadelphia and surrounding area.
- 13. At some time after ShorQuip begin operating its business, Trenchtech discovered that EPI was supplying ShorQuip with EPI shoring products, in material breach of the Agreement.
- 14. Trenchtech vehemently objected to EPI supplying ShorQuip with EPI shoring products and in response, Trenchtech was informed by Mike West, VP of EPI, that the Ken Forsberg, EPI's President's response was "they are going to buy it from somewhere, why not from me?"
- 15. Mike West then assured Trenchtech that EPI would only provide equipment to ShorQuip that was manufactured by Safe-T-Shore, a different company owned by EPI that was located in Arizona. However, Trenchtech later learned that EPI reneged on this promise and not only did EPI supply ShorQuip was EPI's shoring equipment but EPI also advertised ShorQuip as an EPI distributor in Trenchtech's market. In addition, ShorQuip advertised itself as an authorized EPI distributor.
- 16. In fact, on EPI's website, the two distributors identified in the Philadelphia area are Trenchtech and ShorQuip.
- 17. In addition to selling shoring products to ShorQuip in Trenchtech's market in material breach of the Agreement, EPI also breached the Agreement by re-renting equipment to other distributors in areas where Trenchtech had established locations and/or supplying contractors with EPI's Slide-Rail systems.

COUNT I BREACH OF CONTRACT

18. Trenchtech incorporates each preceding paragraph as if set forth at length herein.

19. EPI materially breached the terms of the Agreement as described above.

20. As a direct result of EPI's material breaches of the Agreement, Trenchtech has

suffered significant financial harm in the nature of lost business and revenue. Specifically,

Trenchtech has lost approximately \$5 million in revenue as a result of EPI supplying its products

to ShorQuip who poached several customers from Trenchtech as a result of ShorQuip's ability to

offer EPI's equipment to these customers.

21. EPI's conduct in continuing to sell it product to ShorQuip constitutes an ongoing

material breach of the Agreement which continues to result in Trenchtech suffering significant

damages.

22. WHEREFORE, Trenchtech, Inc. demands that this Court enter judgment in its

favor and against Efficiency Production, Inc. in an amount in excess of \$150,000, plus costs and

such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

SEMANOFF ORMSBY

GREENBERG & TORCHIA, LLC

MICHAEL B. DUBIN, ESQUIRE

2617 Huntingdon Pike

Huntingdon Valley, PA 19006

Attorneys for Plaintiff